

COUNCIL, 19 JULY 2019, AGENDA ITEM 8 – REVIEW OF CALL-IN PROVISIONS

Questions by Residents' Group

1. Would the Leader of the Council confirm why this report is before council when the recommendation agreed at the Governance Committee meeting was for the Overview and Scrutiny Board to be consulted. Is it not sensible to consult with the board before council agrees any recommendations?
2. In relation to recommendation 4 of the report, who will decide where alternative proposals, actions or resolutions are appropriate (and against what criteria will this be judged) and what will be the effect if no alternatives are put forward.
3. Apart from trying to reduce the number of call-ins by adding additional bureaucracy, would the Leader of the Council explain why six members of this council are needed for there to be proper scrutiny of his Administration's decisions.

Deemed motion on behalf of Administration

That the report be adopted and its recommendations carried into effect.

Amendment by Labour Group

Recommendation 2. Delete "six" insert "four"

Recommendation 7. Delete the words "The Members" Insert in place thereof "At least two of the members"

For clarity, the full recommendations would read as follows:

2. Any requisition submitted must in writing must be in writing and must be signed by at least four members representing between them no less than two groups.
7. At least two of the members submitting call-in requisition or a group representative must attend the meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Board where the called-in decision is to be reviewed.